

Current Advances in Cryopreservation of Microalgae

Wahyu Sri Kunto Nugroho¹, Do-A Kim¹, Dong-Woo Kim¹, Bon-Won Koo¹, Young Baek Hur², Hak Jun Kim^{1*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Pukyong National University, Busan 48513, Korea

²Southeast Sea Fisheries Research Institute, National Fisheries Research and Development Institute, Namhae 52440, Korea

Corresponding Author

Hak Jun Kim

Department of Chemistry, Pukyong

National University, Busan 48513, Korea

E-mail : kimhj@pknu.ac.kr

Received : April 05, 2016

Revised : April 07, 2016

Accepted : April 25, 2016

Microalgae are of significant importance for future biotechnological applications. Many microalgae banks or laboratories attempt to maintain various microalgae for further research purposes. Cryopreservation has been preferred to reduce a labor-intensive and costly routine sub-culturing. Cryopreservation can also diminish the genetic drift risk. However, cryopreservation as a long term storage of microalgae method are still in developing progress because it cannot be generalized for all microalgae. Microalgae types, cryoprotectant agents (CPAs) types, freezing and thawing methods are the most important factors that should be considered for cryopreservation. In this short review the basic principles and the current advanced of microalgae cryopreservation methods are discussed with a suggested starting parameters for microalgae cryopreservation.

Keywords: Microalgae, Cryopreservation, Cryoprotectants, Intracellular ice formation

1. Introduction

Microalgae are the fastest-growing plants that can be found in the freshwater and marine environments (Demirbas and Fatih Demirbas, 2011). Their biodiversity has been estimated more than 30,000 species (Guiry, 2012). This huge number represents a rich genetic resource and interest in their biotechnology potential. They draw an interest from biotechnology, especially thanks to their secondary metabolites as potential compounds such as anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and antioxidant activities as well as biofuel (Rhodes et al., 2006; de Morais et al., 2015). To take the advantage of their potential, useful marine microalgae strains should be maintained for further research (Brand and Diller, 2004; de Morais et al., 2015).

Historically, microalgae collections have been maintained by a successive culturing (routine serial subculture) (Pringsheim, 1946; Day et al., 1997; Day et al., 2005). This method is preferred for the most phycologists when the number of strains are small. However, this method becomes problematic when a large number of microalgae (Day et al., 1997; Day et al., 2000). This approach needs huge space, growth media, labor cost, and etc. Therefore, maintaining a large number of microalgae in a collection using this method is costly (Borowitzka, 1997). In addition, there is a continuous risk of contamination and genetic drift occurring in serial

sub-culturing (Rhodes et al., 2006; Heesch et al., 2012).

One of the preferred solution to this is cryopreservation (Polges et al., 1949). The main aim of cryopreservation is to prevent the transformation of morphological, biochemical, and hereditary properties (Polges et al., 1949; Day et al., 2000). In principle metabolism of the organism would be halted at a very low temperature, and be reactivated by thawing them. However, cryopreservation method available to date are not suitable to preserve all types of microalgae because each of microalgae has own unique properties (Abreu et al., 2012).

Many parameters should be considered to optimize cryopreservation. Here, we delineated the state of the art and current cryopreservation method for various types of microalgae including freezing and thawing methods, and cryoprotectants (CPAs).

2. Current Cryopreservation Technology

Cryopreservation has been proven able to maintain genotype of cryopreserved cells (Hipkin et al., 2013). Cryopreservation also has been successfully maintained the chlorophyll content of microalgae for 15 years (Nakanishi et al., 2012). Currently, cryopreservation method is a preferred alternative method for maintaining a large number of microalgae. However, the established method for cryopreservation is still limited to certain species.

The research to optimize the cryopreservation of microalgae has been grown and became an interesting research field.

Cryopreservation of microalgae uses two basic concepts: dehydration of cells using compatible solutes and the consequent prevention of intracellular ice crystal formation (Taylor and Fletcher, 1999). The dehydration of microalgae is used to prevent the ice growth in the inside microalgae. The intracellular ice growth can disrupt the microalgae.

Successful microalgae cryopreservation is generally assessed by viability levels, and genetic integrity. According to Day et al. (2005), good quality of cryopreservation means at least 10~60% post-thaw viability of the cryopreserved microalgae (Day et al., 2005). However, the most important thing to consider is the post-thawed growth of microalgae without genetic changes.

The cryopreservation parameters such as microalgae cell concentration, culture age, centrifugation, freezing step rate, CPAs types and CPAs concentration have been examined and would be discussed here.

2.1. Cell concentration, culture age, and centrifugation

The first optimization step of microalgae cryopreservation is the cell concentration. High cell concentration has been reported to reduce the viability of microalgae. An injurious substance is enzymatically released upon microalgae death (Piasecki et al., 2002; Piasecki et al., 2008). Therefore, lower cell concentration seems to be beneficial (Bui et al., 2013). However, if the microalgae concentration is too low, it could reduce the chance of microalgae survival after cryopreservation. Generally, 1×10^6 cell/ml concentration is a good starting point for microalgae cryopreservation.

Microalgae culture age is also generally thought to affect viability level of cryopreserved samples (Cañavate and Lubián, 1997). The cultures age of mid and late exponential phase from 7 to 14 days are generally resulting high viability levels $\pm 67\%$ (McLellan, 1989; Harding et al., 2004). However, if the culture is in the late stationary phase, it would be in the death phase soon then the viability level is reduced (Lavens and Sorgeloos, 1996). Therefore, the growth rate of each strain should be determined before cryopreservation.

In the thawing step, cryoprotectants (CPAs) are usually removed to minimize the cytotoxic effect by centrifugation and washing multiple times during thawing step. However, according to Bui et al. (2013), the centrifugation step could decrease 22% of cell viability of post-thawed samples. Furthermore, this effect could be

augmented by higher speed centrifugation. Shear forces between microalgae and centrifugation tube can damage algae cells, especially when they are fragile (post-thawed samples). Interestingly Youn and Hur (2009) showed that viability and growth of the microalgae were not influenced by the removal of CPAs after thawing. Hence, post-thaw centrifugation step is not suggested or recommended only using lower speed centrifugation (Bui et al., 2013).

2.2. Freezing rate

Cryopreservation is usually maintained at -196°C (in liquid nitrogen) without serious damage (McLellan, 1989; Zhou et al., 2007). In order to reach this temperature, the freezing rate is controlled to reduce the formation of ice during freezing, which can be causing damage to the microalgae. Serious damage on the cryopreserved samples would lead to decrease of viability or death of microalgae in the post-thaw step. Generally, there are two types of freezing steps utilized: single step (rapid freezing), and two step (slow freezing at certain speed and then rapid freezing). Additionally, there is a vitrification method in which water molecules solidifies amorphyously.

2.2.1. Single step freezing

In rapid single step freezing the microalgae samples in cryovial are directly plunged into liquid nitrogen (-196°C). Cells will be frozen rapidly in both extracellularly and intracellularly. The fatal intracellular ice formation should be prevented (Barry J. Fuller et al., 2004). In rapid freezing there is no time for water loss (dehydration) from the cell to minimize intracellular ice formation, resulting in lower viability. Therefore the single step rapid freezing is not recommended. However, some microalgae like diatom *Navicula glaciei* was shown to tolerate with single step freezing. This tolerance may be due to an antifreeze protein (AFP), ice-crystal growth inhibitory protein that *N. glaciei* produces (Kang and Raymond, 2004). To date, AFPs have been extensively tested as a CPA. Some microalgae species with thick cell wall were reported to survive after single step freezing: *Gloeocystis gigas*, *Nannochloris oculata*, *Stichococcus bacillaris*, *N. oceanica*, *N. salina*, *Nannochloris* sp., *Chroococcus minutus*, *Oscillatoria angustissima*, *Synechococcus nidulans*, *Trichodismium erythraeum* (Youn and Hur, 2009).

Table 1. Successful cryopreservation of microalgae

No	Micro-algae	Algal class	CPA(s)	Freezing method	Thawing methods	Ref
1	<i>Amphidinium carterae</i> Hulburt a	Dinophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
2	<i>Amphidinium trulla</i> Murray, Rhodes et Flø Jørgensen	Dinophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
3	<i>Aphanizomenon flosaquae</i> Ralfs ex Bornet et Flahault	Cyanophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
4	<i>Chaetoceros calcitrans</i> (Paulsen) Tanako a	Bacillariophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
5	<i>Chaetoceros calcitrans</i> (Paulsen) Tanako a	Bacillariophyceae	5% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 25°C Held for 1 min at -4°C Final temperature = -60°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -60°C for 10 min	25°C water bath	(Dupré, 2011)
6	<i>Chaetoceros</i> sp.	Bacillariophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
7	<i>Chaetoceros muelleri</i> Lemmermann	Bacillariophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)

Table 1. Successful cryopreservation of microalgae (Continued)

No	Micro-algae	Algal class	CPA(s)	Freezing method	Thawing methods	Ref
8	<i>Chlamydomonas coccoides</i> Starr & Zeikus	Chlorophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
9	<i>Chrysochromulina simplex</i> Estep et al.	Haptophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C *Freezing rate = 0.1°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
10	<i>Gymnodinium simplex</i> (Lohmann) Kofoid & Swezy	Dinophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
11	<i>Isochrysis galbana</i> Parke	Haptophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
12	<i>Nitzschia ovalis</i> Arnot ex Cleve & Grun.	Bacillariophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
13	<i>Pavlova lutheri</i> (Droop) Green	Haptophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
14	<i>Porphyridium purpureum</i> (Bory) Drew et Ross	Rhodophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)

Table 1. Successful cryopreservation of microalgae (Continued)

No	Micro-algae	Algal class	CPA(s)	Freezing method	Thawing methods	Ref
15	<i>Prymnesium parvum</i> N. Carter	Haptophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
16	<i>Prymnesium parvum</i> <i>f. patelliferum</i> Green, Hibberd et Pienaar	Haptophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
17	<i>Raphidiopsis</i> sp.	Cyanophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
18	<i>Tetraselmis chuii</i> Butcher a	Prasinophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
19	<i>Tetraselmis suecica</i> (Kylin) Butcher	Prasinophyceae	15% DMSO	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 3°C/min Held at -40°C for 10 min 2 nd Plunged into LN	20°C water bath	(Rhodes et al., 2006)
20	<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i>	Bacillariophyceae	10% DMSO + 0.1 mg/ml LeBP 10% Glycerol + 0.1 mg/ml LeBP 10% Propylene glycol + 0.1 mg/ml LeBP 10% Ethylene glycol + 0.1 mg/ml LeBP	1 st Initial temperature = 20°C Up to 0°C freezing rate = 5°C/min Initial = 0°C Final temperature = -40°C Freezing rate = 1°C/min 2 nd Plunged into LN	30°C water bath for 2 min.	(Koh et al., 2015)

Table 1. Successful cryopreservation of microalgae (Continued)

No	Micro-algae	Algal class	CPA(s)	Freezing method	Thawing methods	Ref
21	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> C-27	Trebouxiophyceae	Mixture of 5% DMSO, 5% EG, and 5% proline	1 st Samples incubated in an ice bath for 45 min Initial = 0°C Final = -40°C in deep freezer for 4 hours 2 nd Immerse samples in LN	40°C water bath	Nakanishi et al., 2012)
22	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> M-207A7	Trebouxiophyceae	Mixture of 5% DMSO, 5% EG, and 5% proline	1 st Samples incubated in an ice bath for 45 min Initial = 0°C Final = -40°C in deep freezer for 4 hours 2 nd Immerse samples in LN	40°C water bath	Nakanishi et al., 2012)
23	<i>Nannochloropsis oculata</i> ST-4	Eustigmatophyceae	Mixture of 5% DMSO, 5% EG, and 5% proline	1 st Samples incubated in an ice bath for 45 min Initial = 0°C Final = -40°C in deep freezer for 4 hours 2 nd Immerse samples in LN	40°C water bath	Nakanishi et al., 2012)
24	<i>Tetraselmis tetrathele</i> T-501	Prasinophyceae	Mixture of 5% DMSO, 5% EG, and 5% proline	1 st Samples incubated in an ice bath for 45 min Initial = 0°C Final = -40°C in deep freezer for 4 hours 2 nd Immerse samples in LN	40°C water bath	Nakanishi et al., 2012)

2.2.2. Two step freezing

Controlling the freezing rates to avoid lethal intracellular ice formation is a key to successful cryopreservation. In the two step freezing, the microalgae samples are cooled to certain temperature at freezing rate, and then the samples are plunged into liquid nitrogen. Controlled rate freezing allows dehydration of microalgae. As a results, intracellular ice formation is minimized and higher viability levels are obtained. Therefore, two step freezing method is preferred in the microalgae cryopreservation. Some of successful microalgae cryopreservation are summarized in the Table 1. Various experiments to optimize cryopreservation of microalgae have been conducted because cryopreservation methods

cannot be generalized and applied to all kinds of microalgae.

2.2.3. Vitrification

Vitrification is the transformation of liquid water into glassy water, where water still possesses a random molecular structure (amorphous) in solid state (Harding et al., 2004). Vitrification has been pioneered by Fahy et al. (1986) in medical cryobiology. In contrast with the two step freezing cryopreservation, the vitrification uses high cooling and warming rates to avoid ice crystal formation inside cells (Sibinga et al., 1986). Glasses are considered metastable, so it can easily form a solid crystalline during cryopreservation or thawing which can damage cells. Appropriate

Table 2. Common cryoprotectants used in cryopreservation of microalgae

CPA	Formula	MW	Concentration	Ref
Dimethylsulfoxide	(CH ₃) ₂ SO	78.13	5~15%	(Rhodes et al., 2006; Koh et al., 2015)
Glycerol	(CH ₂) ₂ CH(OH) ₃	92.09	10%	(Hong et al., 2011; Koh et al., 2015)
Methanol	CH ₃ OH	32.04	10%	(Hong et al., 2011)
Ethylene glycol	(CH ₂) ₂ (OH) ₂	62.07	10%	(Hong et al., 2011; Koh et al., 2015)
Propylene glycol	CH ₃ CH ₂ CH(OH) ₂	76.09	10%	(Hong et al., 2011; Koh et al., 2015)

cooling and warming rates, important keys of vitrification and devitrification, should be determined for each species (Harding et al., 2004).

2.3. Cryoprotectants (CPAs)

Cryoprotectants are substances which can be used to reduce cryodamage (van der Meer and Simpson, 1984; Iwamoto et al., 2012). Generally, CPAs can be classified based on their ability to penetrate or nonpenetrate into cells. Penetrating CPAs can be equilibrated inside and outside solution of cells whereas non-penetrating CPAs do not pass through the membrane or cell walls and remains extracellularly. Lower molecular weight is better than higher molecular weight in its penetrating ability. However, the penetration is highly dependent on the temperature and cell type (Hubálek, 2003).

2.3.1. Penetrating CPAs

In 1949, glycerol is used for the first time as a penetrating CPA which was used in cryopreservation (Polges et al., 1949). After that, other CPAs such as dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), ethylene glycol, propylene glycol were examined in cryopreservation of many cells (Benson, 2008). Generally DMSO, methanol, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerol, polyethylene glycol, and PVP (polyvinylpyrrolidone) are CPAs used widely with acceptable results for microalgae cryopreservation (Polges et al., 1949). Penetrating CPAs are known to be more effective than nonpenetrating CPAs. However, some CPAs were discovered to be toxic at certain concentrations because microalgae susceptibility to the CPAs.

CPA toxicity is always the first priority to consider before cryopreservation of microalgae. If penetrating CPAs are used, it is advised to incubate the samples for a few minutes (15~30 minutes) before cryopreservation to allow the equilibrium of CPAs and

microalgae (Lovelock, 1954). It should be noted that every microalgae also has its own permeability and susceptibility which can affect to the equilibration time and CPAs concentration (Tanaka et al., 2001). Consequently, the optimal type and concentration of CPA or combinations of CPAs, and equilibrium times should be determined empirically to increase the viability level of cryopreserved microalgae (Hubálek, 2003). These general CPAs properties which commonly used for microalgae cryopreservation are shown in the Table 2.

2.3.2. Nonpenetrating CPAs

Nonpenetrating CPAs means the CPAs are remained outside the microalgae cells. The advantage of nonpenetrating CPAs are much less toxic than the penetrating counterparts. Unlike penetrating CPAs, equilibration time is not needed if nonpenetrating CPAs are used. Nonpenetrating CPAs are not used widely for microalgae cryopreservation because they are less effective than penetrating CPAs. Therefore, the combination of penetrating and nonpenetrating CPAs are used to increase the viability levels. Recently, AFP or ice-binding proteins, ice growth inhibitor, were successfully used to improve the cryopreservation of microalgae *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* (Koh et al., 2015). There is still limited report about the AFPs as a CPAs additive in microalgae cryopreservation. As mentioned before, *N. glaciei* is one of example microalgae that has its own antifreeze proteins (AFP) to protect themselves from freezing damage (Kang and Raymond, 2004).

3. Conclusions

Microalgae are rich with their potential applications, therefore various microalgae are attempted to be maintained. Currently cryopreservation methods for microalgae cannot be generalized (Rastoll et al., 2013). In the cryopreservation of microalgae, many

Table 3. Initial parameters for microalgae cryopreservation

Parameter	Value
Cell concentration	1×10^6 cell/ml
Culture age	7~14 days (mid to late exponential phase)
Freezing step	Two step freezing, First freezing step from 20 to 40°C, freezing rate 3~5°C/min and put the samples into LN
CPAs	DMSO 5~15%
Thawing step	20~30°C in water bath

things should be considered: microalgae types, cell concentration, culture age before preservation, freezing rates, CPAs used and CPAs concentrations. Among all kinds of CPAs, DMSO is the most universal CPA which is used successfully in the range of 5~15%. In the freezing step, two step freezing is more preferred than single step freezing since the latter can reduce the intracellular ice formation. The following parameters in the Table 3 may be used as starting parameters for microalgae cryopreservation.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Abreu L, Borges L, Marangoni J, Abreu PC. 2012. Cryopreservation of some useful microalgae species for biotechnological exploitation. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 24: 1579-1588.
- Amaral R, Pereira JC, Pais AACC, Santos LMA. 2013. Is axenicity crucial to cryopreserve microalgae? *Cryobiology* 67: 312-320.
- Barry J, Fuller, Lane N, Benson EE. 2004. *Life in the Frozen State*. CRC Press.
- Benson EE. 2008. *Plant Cryopreservation: A Practical Guide* (B. M. ReedEd.). Springer New York, New York, NY.
- Borowitzka MA. 1997. Microalgae for aquaculture: Opportunities and constraints. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 9: 393-401.
- Brand JJ, Diller KR. 2004. Application and theory of algal cryopreservation. *Nova Hedwigia* 79: 175-189.
- Bui TVL, Ross IL, Jakob G, Hankamer B. 2013. Impact of procedural steps and cryopreservation agents in the cryopreservation of chlorophyte microalgae. *PloS One* 8: e78668.
- Cañavate JP, Lubián LM. 1997. Effects of culture age on cryopreservation of marine microalgae. *European Journal of Phycology* 32: 87-90.
- Day JG, Benson EE, Harding K, Knowles B, Idowu M, Bremner D, Santos L, Santos F, Friedl T, Lorenz M, Lukesova A, Elster J, Lukavsky J, Herdman M, Rippka R, Hall T. 2005. Cryopreservation and conservation of microalgae: the development of a pan-european scientific and biotechnological resource (the COBRA project). *Cryo Letters* 26: 231-238.
- Day JG, Fleck RA, Benson EE. 2000. Cryopreservation-recalcitrance in microalgae: novel approaches to identify and avoid cryo-injury. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 12: 369-377.
- Day JG, Watanabe MM, Morris GJ, Fleck RA, McLellan MR. 1997. Long-term viability of preserved eukaryotic algae. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 9: 121-127.
- Demirbas A, Fatih Demirbas M. 2011. Importance of algae oil as a source of biodiesel. *Energy Conversion and Management* 52: 163-170.
- Guiry MD. 2012. How many species of algae are there? *Journal of Phycology* 48: 1057-1063.
- Harding K, Day JG, Lorenz M, Timmermann H, Friedl T, Bremner DH, Benson EE. 2004. Introducing the concept and application of vitrification for the cryo-conservation of algae ? a mini-review. *Nova Hedwigia* 79: 207-226.
- Heesch S, Day JG, Yamagishi T, Kawai H, Müller DG, Küpper FC. 2012. Cryopreservation of the Model Alga *Ectocarpus* (Phaeophyceae). *Cryo Letters* 33: 327-336.
- Hipkin R, Day JG, Rad-Menéndez C, Mock T. 2013. The first evidence for genotypic stability in a cryopreserved transgenic diatom. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 26: 65-71.
- Hong SS, Lee SY, Kim YN, Kang S, Kim HJ. 2011. A Modified Cryopreservation Method of Psychrophilic Chlorophyta *Pyramimonas* sp. from Antarctica. *Ocean and Polar Research* 33(September): 303-308.
- Hubálek Z. 2003. Protectants used in the cryopreservation of microorganisms. *Cryobiology* 46: 205-229.
- Iwamoto K, Fukuyo S, Okuda M, Kobayashi M, Shiraiwa Y. 2012. Cryopreservation of the Chlorophyll d-Containing Cyanobacterium *Acaryochloris Marina*. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* 15: 118-125.
- Kang J-S, Raymond JA. 2004. Reduction of freeze-thaw-induced hemolysis of red blood cells by an algal ice-binding protein. *Cryo Letters* 25: 307-310.
- Koh HY, Lee JH, Han SJ, Park H, Lee SG. 2015. Effect of the anti-

- freeze protein from the arctic yeast *Leucosporidium* sp. AY30 on cryopreservation of the marine diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 175: 677-686.
- Lavens P, Sorgeloos P. 1996. Manual on the production and use of live food for aquaculture (P. Lavens & P. Sorgeloos Eds.). Ghent, Belgium.
- Lovelock JE. 1954. The protective action of neutral solutes against haemolysis by freezing and thawing. *The Biochemical Journal* 56: 265-270.
- McLellan MR. 1989. Cryopreservation of Diatoms. *Diatom Research* 4: 301-318.
- van der Meer JP, Simpson FJ. 1984. Cryopreservation of *Gracilaria tikvahiae* (Rhodophyta) and other macrophytic marine algae. *Phycologia* 23: 195-202.
- de Moraes MG, Vaz B da S, de Moraes EG, Costa JAV. 2015. Biologically Active Metabolites Synthesized by Microalgae. *Bio-Med Research International* 2015 1-15.
- Nakanishi K, Deuchi K, Kuwano K. 2012. Cryopreservation of four valuable strains of microalgae, including viability and characteristics during 15 years of cryostorage. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 24: 1381-1385.
- Piasecki BP, Diller KR, Brand JJ. 2008. Cryopreservation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: a cause of low viability at high cell density. *Cryobiology* 58: 103-109.
- Piasecki B, Fan Y, Diller KR, Brand JJ. 2002. Survival of *Chlamydomonas* Subsequent to Cryopreservation is Prevented by a Substance Released from Damaged Cells. *Journal of Phycology* 38: 30-30.
- Polges C, Smith AU, Parkes AS. 1949. Revival of Spermatozoa after Vitrification and Dehydration at Low Temperatures. *Nature* 164: 666-666.
- Pringsheim EG. 1946. Pure Cultures of Algae: Their Preparation & Maintenance. CUP Archive.
- Rastoll MJ, Ouahid Y, Martín-Gordillo F, Ramos V, Vasconcelos V, del Campo FF. 2013. The development of a cryopreservation method suitable for a large cyanobacteria collection. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 25: 1483-1493.
- Rhodes L, Smith J, Tervit R, Roberts R, Adamson J, Adams S, Decker M. 2006. Cryopreservation of economically valuable marine micro-algae in the classes Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Dinophyceae, Haptophyceae, Prasinophyceae, and Rhodophyceae. *Cryobiology* 52: 152-156.
- Salas-Leiva JS, Dupré E. 2011. Cryopreservation of the microalgae *Chaetoceros calcitrans* (Paulsen): analysis of the effect of DMSO temperature and light regime during different equilibrium periods. *Aquatic* 39: 271-279.
- Sibinga CTS, Das PC, Greenwalt TJ (Eds.). 1986. Future Developments in Blood Banking. Springer US, Boston, MA.
- Tanaka JY, Walsh JR, Diller KR, Brand JJ, Aggarwal SJ. 2001. Algae permeability to Me(2)SO from -3 to 23 degrees C. *Cryobiology* 42: 286-300.
- Taylor R, Fletcher RL. 1999. Cryopreservation of eukaryotic algae - a review of methodologies. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 10: 481-501.
- Youn J-Y, Hur S-B. 2009. Cryopreserved Marine Microalgae Grown Using Different Freezing Methods. *ALGAE* 24: 257-265.
- Zhou W, Li Y, Dai J. 2007. Study on cryopreservation of *Porphyra yezoensis* conchocelis. *Journal of Ocean University of China* 6: 299-302.